

Sustaining Momentum in the Western Balkans During the Coronavirus Pandemic

Daniel Kochis

KEY TAKEAWAYS

The Western Balkans remain a vital geo-strategic region and recent progress from U.S. engagement should not give way to U.S. complacency.

China and Russia have capitalized on the ongoing COVID-19 pandemic to take advantage of the region's struggles and burnish their influence.

The U.S. and Europe should work to minimize nefarious outside influences seeking to derail the region's future in the transatlantic community.

Under the Trump Administration, U.S. policy in the Balkans had some important successes, notably, the accessions of Montenegro (2017) and North Macedonia (2020) to the North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO), as well as the 2020 signing of economic normalization agreements between Kosovo and Serbia.

Progress should not give way to complacency, however. The Western Balkans remain home to unfinished business. The region warrants continued focused attention, particularly considering Chinese and Russian efforts to capitalize on the ongoing coronavirus pandemic to burnish their influence in the Western Balkans.

Constructive engagement from the Biden Administration in the Balkans, for instance, by expanding the Three Seas Initiative (3SI), continuing support for Kosovo's recognition as an independent country, and

This paper, in its entirety, can be found at <http://report.heritage.org/bg3612>

The Heritage Foundation | 214 Massachusetts Avenue, NE | Washington, DC 20002 | (202) 546-4400 | heritage.org

Nothing written here is to be construed as necessarily reflecting the views of The Heritage Foundation or as an attempt to aid or hinder the passage of any bill before Congress.

aiding reforms that will unleash prosperity would build on recent momentum and best minimize the risk that any nefarious outside influences can derail the region's future in the transatlantic community.

Recent Chinese Expansion in the Region

Chinese interest in the Western Balkans stems from the region's geostrategic location near major European economies. Situated as a southern gateway to Europe, the Western Balkans have attracted modest Chinese investments over the past several years. As one analyst noted, "China's economic footprint in the Western Balkans is still shallow, but it has grown rapidly over a short period of time."¹ China's marquee regional investment, the Greek port of Piraeus, controlled by the Chinese firm COSCO Shipping, is Europe's fourth-largest port. The rail network from the port runs north through the Western Balkans. Today, China accounts for only 5.7 percent of foreign trade with the Western Balkans and only 3 percent of the region's foreign direct investment.² However, Chinese investments have the potential to erode the allure of continuing a westward trajectory, trapping countries in a deep well of debt to China, and reinforcing the existing stasis in which many Western Balkan nations find themselves.

Much of the Chinese footprint in the region has taken the form of loans for infrastructure investments. For instance, in 2014, Montenegro took out a €1 billion (\$1.2 billion) loan to help build the first phase (44 kilometers (km) of 165 km)³ of a portion of a highway connecting the city of Bar with Belgrade, the Serbian capital. The project is financed almost entirely through loans from the Export-Import Bank of China, with the China Road and Bridge Corporation the contractor for the project.⁴ In 2017, the International Monetary Fund issued warnings about Montenegro's ability to repay the loan. Recently, the European Union declined a Montenegrin request to help it repay the loan, stating that the EU does not "repay loans from third parties." Instead, the EU offered to assist with financing to complete construction of remaining sections of the highway, via development funds.⁵

Other key Chinese investments in the Western Balkans include, but are not limited to, coal-fired electricity generation plants⁶ and hydroelectric power plants⁷ in Bosnia and Herzegovina; Europe's largest onshore oil field in Albania;⁸ two major highways in North Macedonia;⁹ and mines, steel mills, and tire factories in Serbia.¹⁰

Chinese activity in the Western Balkans is a long-term investment that seeks to garner diplomatic, economic, and political influence. China has already reaped some dividends, including Western Balkan nations toeing

Beijing's line on its One China policy in relation to Taiwan,¹¹ Serbian support of China's crackdown on pro-democracy protestors in Hong Kong,¹² and, in 2016, Montenegro calling for "dialogue" after the Permanent Court of Arbitration in The Hague sided with the Philippines over China on a series of issues related to the South China Sea, and criticizing the Philippines for acting unilaterally in bringing the case.¹³

Pandemic Openings

The ongoing COVID-19 pandemic has opened avenues for both China and Russia to deploy vaccine diplomacy in the Balkans. While Serbia began its vaccinations with the Pfizer–BioNTech vaccine in December 2020, it has since relied on a patchwork of vaccines to leapfrog most of Europe in its vaccination campaign. To date, 27 percent of Serbia's population of 7 million have received at least one dose of a vaccine.¹⁴ By comparison, at the time of this writing, only 19 percent of French citizens have received at least one vaccine dose.¹⁵

Serbia became the first European country to use China's Sinopharm vaccine, with a million doses received in mid-January 2021.¹⁶ In March, Serbian President Aleksandar Vucic called the EU's proclaimed solidarity a "fairy tale," and stated that the "only country that can help us is China."¹⁷ Also in March, he publicly kissed the Chinese flag upon the arrival of a shipment of Chinese-made personal protective equipment (PPE).¹⁸ On April 6, Vucic received the Chinese vaccine on live television,¹⁹ and Serbia has plans to produce Sinopharm domestically by mid-October.²⁰ Serbia, which already partners with Chinese companies to use artificial intelligence (AI) for surveillance cameras in Belgrade, has further employed Chinese AI to aid its vaccine rollout.

Serbia has also purchased Russia's Sputnik V vaccine and plans to begin producing Sputnik shots in Serbia by May.²¹ Vucic has credited "friendly"²² relations with China and Russia for aiding the country's vaccine rollout. Serbia has adroitly utilized its excess capacity to assist its neighbors, whether via donations to Bosnia and Herzegovina, Montenegro, and North Macedonia personally delivered by President Vucic,²³ or an announcement in late March that foreigners could receive a free vaccination that weekend (Serbia had nearly expired AstraZeneca vaccines), which attracted 22,000 people from neighboring nations.²⁴ The weekend effort was so successful that Serbia now allows foreigners to register for vaccines online.²⁵

Aside from Serbia, nearly all Western Balkan nations initially indicated a preference for EU-approved vaccines, mostly relying on the global COVAX system²⁶ for acquisition. Additionally, in late December, the EU approved

€70 million (\$84.2 million) to assist the Western Balkans for early access to purchasing EU-approved vaccines and aid in their distribution.²⁷ However, the EU's own botched vaccination efforts stymied efforts by Western Balkan nations to acquire Western-produced vaccines. While the region was exempt from the EU vaccine export ban,²⁸ by February, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Kosovo, Montenegro, and North Macedonia had yet to receive any EU-approved vaccines.²⁹

Sluggish progress on receiving vaccines led all Western Balkan nations to purchase, or, in the case of Croatia, to discuss purchases of, Chinese and Russian vaccines. Bosnia and Herzegovina ordered 1.2 million doses via COVAX,³⁰ but did not receive its first shipment until March 25.³¹ The nation, hard hit by a second COVID-19 wave, made alternative arrangements. In February, the Republika Srpska³² began receiving the first vaccines from its order of 400,000 Sputnik V vaccines.³³ The Bosniak–Croat Federation announced in mid-March that it planned to purchase 500,000 Sputnik V vaccines.³⁴ In late March, a Turkish donation of 30,000 Chinese-made vaccines arrived in Bosnia and Herzegovina.³⁵ Likewise, North Macedonia placed orders of 200,000 for both Russia's Sputnik vaccine as well as China's Sinopharm vaccine.³⁶ Montenegro has ordered 150,000 doses of China's Sinopharm vaccine,³⁷ and a donation of 30,000 additional doses in boxes marked "China Aid" arrived in Montenegro in early March.³⁸ Montenegro is also vaccinating its population with the help of 250,000 doses of Sputnik V ordered from Russia.³⁹

Albania began vaccinations in January with small numbers of Pfizer–BioNTech, AstraZeneca, and Sputnik vaccines. Albania agreed to a deal to purchase 500,000 doses of Sinovac, China's other vaccine, with an option to purchase 500,000 more via a Turkish distributor.⁴⁰ The first 192,000 doses arrived in Albania on March 25.⁴¹ Albanian Prime Minister Edi Rama also announced Chinese plans to build a vaccine-manufacturing facility in Albania.⁴² On April 5, Prime Minister Rama announced that his nation had concluded an agreement with Russia to purchase an additional 50,000 Sputnik vaccines.⁴³

Croatia, the only Western Balkan nation that is also an EU member, has thus far stood by a commitment not to use any vaccine not approved by the EU. However, recent talks with Russia over potential purchases of Sputnik V⁴⁴ and Croatians seeking vaccinations in neighboring Serbia⁴⁵ indicate that that position may be faltering. A Brazilian study published in April 2021, which found that China's Sinovac vaccine was only 50.7 percent effective in preventing symptomatic COVID-19,⁴⁶ underscores the long-term wisdom of Croatia's approach not to use vaccines outside the EU approval framework, and calls into question the effectiveness of the region's vaccination efforts.

Pandemic Themes in Russian Propaganda. Russia has also sought to find advantage in the pandemic, playing up Russian pandemic assistance for maximum public relations impact. As one example,

[i]n April 2020, to much media pomp, 11 Russian aircraft with 87 military doctors, Nuclear Biological Chemical specialists and equipment landed in Serbia to disinfect streets, hospitals and barracks across that country. While Serbia has its own military capacities for the same purposes, these were overshadowed by the guests.⁴⁷

Russia has adroitly exploited the inability of the Western Balkans to procure Western vaccines to fashion a message of abandonment by the West.⁴⁸ Russia and China have also worked together to disseminate outlandish claims that COVID-19 originated in a U.S. lab.⁴⁹

The U.S. Should Refocus Attention on the Western Balkans

Both China and Russia have sought to capitalize on the pandemic, wielding PPE and vaccines to bolster credibility, spreading propaganda to take advantage of the region's struggles, and banking on a West distracted by its failing pandemic response. The region, however, deserves continued Western attention and is an area where the U.S. and Europe should work together to assemble an active strategy of engagement.

The U.S. should:

- **Renew and maintain a focus on the Western Balkans.** The pandemic has only deepened trends already underway in the Balkans. Russia continues a campaign of interference, pressure, and propaganda with the aim of regional destabilization. China is leveraging investment funding, pandemic aid, and propaganda to garner a greater pool of influence. A need for vaccines has opened the door wider for China and Russia in nearly every Western Balkan nation. The recent announcement of a donation of 650,000 Pfizer vaccines to the region by European Commission President Ursula von der Leyen will help to alleviate immediate pressure, but is not a panacea.⁵⁰
- **Consider eventual vaccine aid to region.** The Western Balkans have some of the world's highest COVID-19 rates per capita. As the U.S. vaccination campaign continues to progress, the Administration should consider ways in which it can aid Western Balkan nations in acquiring safe vaccine doses.

- **Keep NATO’s door open for Western Balkan nations.** The U.S. should ensure that the Alliance’s open-door policy remains available for deserving European countries. With North Macedonia joining the Alliance in March 2020, Bosnia and Herzegovina remains the most realistic Balkan prospect to join the Alliance next. Recent threats⁵¹ by the Russian embassy in Sarajevo over potential membership for Bosnia and Herzegovina should underscore the importance of reaffirming that Russia should not have a veto over the decision of the sovereign member states of NATO. While many domestic political obstacles remain, such as the lack of support for membership by the Republika Srpska, NATO must keep the country on track for eventual membership. NATO enlargement has helped to bind like-minded democracies on both sides of the Atlantic in mutual self-defense. Furthermore, requirements for joining the Alliance have proven to be critical catalysts for reform, particularly of militaries, and for strengthening the rule of law in candidate countries.
- **Support Kosovo’s long-term transatlantic aspirations.** While Kosovo is not yet ready to join NATO and has significant challenges to overcome, the Alliance should welcome Kosovo’s transatlantic aspirations, take a patient approach, and support modernization and key reforms.
- **Stay committed to the Kosovo Force (KFOR) mission.** NATO forces will continue to remain the hearthstone of Kosovo’s security. General Tod Wolters, Commander of the United States European Command, recently testified that “KFOR enables the security conditions required for normalization of Serbia–Kosovo relations and the planned 10-year transition of the Kosovo Security Force from 2018 to 2028.”⁵² Today it is more important than ever that KFOR continue with robust participation with allies across NATO.
- **Support Kosovo’s inclusion in international organizations.** The U.S. should continue to use its diplomatic and political leverage to support international recognition of Kosovo as an independent country, and Kosovo’s inclusion in relevant international organizations.
- **Pressure Serbia to recognize Kosovo as an independent sovereign nation.** The U.S. cannot allow recent progress in Kosovo–Serbian relations to absolve Serbia of its continued failure to

recognize Kosovo, as well as its actions blocking Kosovo from international organizations, which impede regional progress, including in Serbia itself. While China and Russia support Serbian non-recognition of Kosovo, the U.S. should employ the tools at its disposal to dissuade Serbia from continuing on this path. Recognizing Kosovo will be a significant step for Serbia toward the West and toward a brighter future.

- **Urge both sides to return to the negotiating table.** The U.S. and the EU share a mutual interest in continuing to push the Kosovo–Serbian relationship toward normalization. The Biden Administration is correct in encouraging both nations to return to the EU-facilitated normalization talks.⁵³
- **Keep the lid firmly on Pandora’s box by ruling out land swaps.** Reports of diplomatic notes floating through Europe proposing land swaps in the region should be cause for concern.⁵⁴ Swapping land and redrawing borders based on ethnic and sectarian lines would mark a dangerous precedent, which would give birth to greater instability. The U.S. should make it clear that it does not support Western Balkan land swaps and should squelch the proposals before they gain steam.
- **Promote the idea of non-EU states joining the Three Seas Initiative (3SI).** Currently, the 3SI only includes EU member states. This serves as an artificial constraint to regional cooperation, since so many countries, for instance, much of the Western Balkans, are not EU members. Broadening the scope of the 3SI will help to steel vulnerable nations against undue influence from China and could help to fund worthy infrastructure projects via the Three Seas Investment Fund.⁵⁵
- **Retain support for reforms.** The World Bank’s current projection is for 4.4 percent growth in the Western Balkans in 2021.⁵⁶ In light of rethinking supply chain security, one recent analysis suggested that Western policymakers should consider the potential for the Western Balkans in “‘near-shoring’ the production of critical goods within the transatlantic economy, and diversify production networks to reduce over-dependency on China.”⁵⁷ Continued support for reforms that improve rule of law, increase economic freedom, and tamp down on corruption will better support regional prosperity, allow Balkan nations to retain their youngest talent, and further open the door to the possibility of the region integrating more deeply into transatlantic supply chains.

- **Counter Chinese and Russian propaganda.** China and Russia seek to take advantage of a dearth of independent media in the Balkans, distributing inflammatory messages that exacerbate cultural, ethnic, historical, and religious tensions, and pillory the transatlantic community as inept and powerless. The U.S. should publicly and forcefully respond to high-profile falsehoods, look for ways to support independent media in the region, and make use of American public diplomacy to counter pernicious messages.

Conclusion

Recent examples of progress in the Western Balkans resulting from direct U.S. engagement should not give way to complacency. The COVID-19 pandemic has dented the transatlantic community's appeal, particularly the EU's image in the region, and created space for both China and Russia to cash in on the region's troubles. The U.S. should continue to seek constructive engagement in the Balkans, working with like-minded European partners to ensure that the region remains firmly planted on a transatlantic trajectory post-pandemic.

Daniel Kochis is Senior Policy Analyst for European Affairs in the Margaret Thatcher Center for Freedom, of the Kathryn and Shelby Cullom Davis Institute for National Security and Foreign Policy, at The Heritage Foundation.

Endnotes

1. Jacob Mardell, "Beijing Fills Gaps Left by Brussels in the Western Balkans," Mercator Institute for China Studies, March 18, 2021, <https://merics.org/en/short-analysis/beijing-fills-gaps-left-brussels-western-balkan> (accessed April 16, 2021).
2. Christian Tybring-Gjedde, "China's Belt and Road Initiative: A Strategic and Economic Assessment," North Atlantic Treaty Organization Parliamentary Assembly, Economics and Security Committee, November 21, 2020, p. 9, <https://www.nato-pa.int/download-file?filename=/sites/default/files/2020-11/033%20ESC%2020%20E%20rev.1%20fin%20-%20CHINA%20BRI%20A%20STRATEGIC%20AND%20ECONOMIC%20ASSESSMENT.pdf> (accessed April 16, 2021).
3. Jan Strupczewski, "EU Says It Can't Help Montenegro on China Loan but Can on Financing," Reuters, April 12, 2021, <https://www.reuters.com/article/idUSKBN2BZ22Q> (accessed April 16, 2021).
4. Daniel Kochis, "A Roadmap for Strengthened Transatlantic Pathways in the Western Balkans," Heritage Foundation *Backgrounder* No. 3286, March 16, 2018, <https://www.heritage.org/global-politics/report/roadmap-strengthened-transatlantic-pathways-the-western-balkans>.
5. Strupczewski, "EU Says It Can't Help Montenegro on China Loan but Can on Financing."
6. Mardell, "Beijing Fills Gaps Left by Brussels in the Western Balkans."
7. Michael Marray, "China Gezhoubu to Build Hydro Plant in Bosnia and Herzegovina," *The Asset*, May 13, 2020, <https://www.theasset.com/article/40457/china-gezhoubu-to-build-hydro-plant-in-bosnia-and-herzegovina> (accessed on April 16, 2021).
8. Benet Koleka, "Bankers Petroleum Says Albanian Output Up 18 Pct in H1 2019," Reuters, July 19, 2019, <https://www.reuters.com/article/bankerspetroleum-results-albania-idUSL8N24K2HJ> (accessed April 16, 2021).
9. Mardell, "Beijing Fills Gaps Left by Brussels in the Western Balkans."
10. Ibid.
11. Wouter Zweers et al., "China and the EU in the Western Balkans: A Zero-Sum Game?" Clingendael Netherlands Institute of International Relations, August 2020, <https://www.clingendael.org/pub/2020/china-and-the-eu-in-the-western-balkans/1-chinas-approach-to-the-western-balkans/#13-the-political-domain> (accessed April 16, 2021).
12. Ibid.
13. Government of Montenegro, "Саопштење поводом објављивања пресуде Сталног арбитражног суда у Хагу о спору између Кине и Филипина" (Statement on the occasion of the announcement of the verdict of the Permanent Court of Arbitration in The Hague on the dispute between China and the Philippines), July 12, 2016, <https://www.gov.me/naslovna/vijesti-iz-ministarstava/163193/Saopstenje-povodom-objavlivanja-presude-Stalnog-arbitraznog-suda-u-Hagu-o-sporu-izmedu-Kine-i-Filipina.html?alphabet=cyr> (accessed April 16, 2021).
14. Josh Holder, "Tracking Coronavirus Vaccinations Around the World," *The New York Times*, April 15, 2021, <https://www.nytimes.com/interactive/2021/world/covid-vaccinations-tracker.html> (accessed April 23, 2021).
15. Ibid.
16. "Serbia Becomes First European Country to Use Chinese COVID Vaccines for Mass Rollout," Reuters, January 19, 2021, <https://www.reuters.com/article/us-health-coronavirus-serbia-vaccine/serbia-becomes-first-european-country-to-use-chinese-covid-vaccine-for-mass-rollout-idINKBN2901M5> (accessed April 16, 2021).
17. Peter Rough, "How China Is Exploiting the Coronavirus to Weaken Democracies," *Foreign Policy*, March 25, 2020, <https://foreignpolicy.com/2020/03/25/china-coronavirus-propaganda-weakens-western-democracies/> (accessed April 16, 2021).
18. Dusan Stojanovic, "Leader Says Serbia Is Proud to Give Citizens Chinese Vaccine," ABC News, February 3, 2021, <https://abcnews.go.com/Health/wireStory/leader-serbia-proud-give-citizens-chinese-vaccine-75658672> (accessed April 16, 2021).
19. Dusan Stojanovic, "Serbia's Leader Chooses Chinese-Made Vaccine for Own Shot," Associated Press, April 6, 2021, <https://apnews.com/article/serbia-coronavirus-pandemic-coronavirus-vaccine-aleksandar-vucic-f021a55582c1258244253ead42ef3603> (accessed April 16, 2021).
20. "Serbia Plans to Start Manufacturing Sinopharm, Sputnik Shots," Reuters, March 11, 2021, <https://www.reuters.com/article/us-health-coronavirus-serbia-vaccine/serbia-plans-to-start-manufacturing-sinopharm-sputnik-shots-idUSKBN2B32V2> (accessed April 16, 2021).
21. Ibid.
22. Stojanovic, "Serbia's Leader Chooses Chinese-Made Vaccine for Own Shot."
23. Daria Sito-Sucic, "Foreign Vaccine-Seekers Flock to Serbia for COVID-19 Shots," Reuters, March 25, 2021, <https://www.reuters.com/article/us-health-coronavirus-serbia-inoculation/foreign-vaccine-seekers-flock-to-serbia-for-covid-19-shots-idUSKBN2BH2HT> (accessed April 16, 2021), and Harun Karčić, "How Serbia's Coronavirus Vaccines Are Resetting Balkan Animosities," *The National Interest*, April 4, 2021, <https://nationalinterest.org/blog/coronavirus/how-serbia%E2%80%99s-coronavirus-vaccines-are-resetting-balkan-animosities-181961> (accessed April 16, 2021).
24. "Foreigners Flock to Serbia to Get Coronavirus Vaccine Shots," Associated Press, March 31, 2021, <https://abcnews.go.com/Health/wireStory/foreigners-flock-serbia-coronavirus-vaccine-shots-76798518> (accessed April 16, 2021).

25. Karčić, “How Serbia’s Coronavirus Vaccines are Resetting Balkan Animosities.”
26. Gavi Vaccine Alliance, “COVAX Explained,” September 3, 2020, <https://www.gavi.org/vaccineswork/covax-explained> (accessed April 16, 2021).
27. News release, “Commission Adopts €70 Million Package for Early Access to EU COVID-19 Vaccines in the Western Balkans,” European Commission, December 20, 2020, https://ec.europa.eu/commission/presscorner/detail/en/ip_20_2539 (accessed April 16, 2021).
28. Jakob Hanke Vela, “EU Imposes Vaccine Export Controls on Rich Nations from Saturday,” *Politico*, January 29, 2021, <https://www.politico.eu/article/eu-imposes-export-controls-on-rich-nations-from-saturday/> (accessed April 16, 2021).
29. Valerie Hopkins, “Balkan Nations Turn to China and Russia for Jobs,” *Financial Times*, February 2, 2021, <https://www.ft.com/content/7508a3eb-c36b-4f6b-bd13-4a3a248b0686> (accessed April 6, 2021).
30. “Bosnia Begins COVID-19 Vaccination Roll-Out with Russian Vaccine,” Reuters, February 12, 2021, <https://www.reuters.com/article/health-coronavirus-bosnia-vaccines/bosnia-begins-covid-19-vaccination-roll-out-with-russian-vaccine-idUSL8N2K12RI> (accessed April 16, 2021).
31. News release, “Pfizer/BioNTech COVID-19 Vaccines Shipped by COVAX Arrive in Bosnia and Herzegovina,” United Nations Bosnia and Herzegovina, March 25, 2021, <https://bosniaherzegovina.un.org/en/123137-pfizerbiontech-covid-19-vaccines-shipped-covax-arrive-bosnia-and-herzegovina> (accessed April 16, 2021).
32. *Encyclopedia Britannica*, “Bosnia Serb Republic: Political Organization, Bosnia and Herzegovina,” <https://www.britannica.com/topic/Bosnian-Serb-Republic> (accessed April 16, 2021).
33. “Bosnia Begins COVID-19 Vaccination Roll-Out with Russian Vaccine,” Reuters.
34. “Western Balkans Turn to Russia’s Vaccine Amid Delays in COVAX Deliveries,” Reuters, March 17, 2021, <https://www.reuters.com/article/health-coronavirus-bosnia-vaccine-idUSL8N2LF368> (accessed April 16, 2021).
35. Katy Dartford, “Serbia Inoculates Neighbors as Other Balkan Countries Receive Doses of China’s Vaccine,” *Euronews*, March 28, 2021, <https://www.euronews.com/2021/03/28/serbia-innoculates-neighbours-as-other-balkan-countries-receive-doses-of-china-s-vaccine> (accessed April 16, 2021).
36. “Western Balkans Turn to Russia’s Vaccine Amid Delays in COVAX Deliveries,” Reuters.
37. Hopkins, “Balkan Nations Turn to China and Russia for Jobs.”
38. Radimir Ralev, “Montenegro Receives 30,000 Doses of China’s COVID-19 Vaccine,” *SeeNews*, March 3, 2021, <https://seenews.com/news/montenegro-receives-30000-doses-of-chinas-covid-19-vaccine-733151> (accessed April 16, 2021).
39. “Western Balkans Turn to Russia’s Vaccine Amid Delays in COVAX Deliveries,” Reuters.
40. Arjola Tafaj, “Rama: We Will Vaccinate 500,000 by May, Softened Approach to Chinese and Russian Vaccines,” *Exit News*, March 26, 2021, <https://exit.al/en/2021/03/26/rama-we-will-vaccinate-500000-by-may-softened-approach-to-chinese-and-russian-vaccines/> (accessed April 20, 2021).
41. “Albania Gets 192,000 Doses of Chinese Sinovac Vaccine,” Reuters, March 25, 2021, <https://www.reuters.com/article/us-health-coronavirus-albania-vaccines/albania-gets-192000-doses-of-chinese-sinovac-vaccine-idUSKBN2BH2N9> (accessed April 16, 2021).
42. Ljazar Semini, “Albania Starts Mass COVID Vaccinations Before Tourist Season,” ABC News, March 28, 2021, <https://abcnews.go.com/Health/wireStory/albania-starts-mass-covid-vaccinations-tourist-season-76732673> (accessed April 16, 2021).
43. “Albania Secures 50,000 Doses of the ‘Sputnik’ Vaccine,” *Euronews Albania*, April 5, 2021, <https://euronews.al/en/albania/2021/04/05/albania-secures-50-000-doses-of-the-sputnik-vaccine/> (accessed April 16, 2021).
44. Tim Gosling, “Russia and China are Exploiting Europe’s Vaccine Shortfalls,” *Foreign Policy*, March 31, 2021, <https://foreignpolicy.com/2021/03/31/russia-china-vaccine-diplomacy-slovakia-europe-eu-slow-rollout/> (accessed April 16, 2021).
45. Mark Thomas, “Serbia’s Surplus of COVID-19 Vaccines Helping Neighbouring Countries in Pandemic Fight,” *The Dubrovnik Times*, March 28, 2021, <https://www.thedubrovniktimes.com/news/croatia/item/11169-serbia-s-surplus-of-covid-19-vaccines-helping-neighbouring-countries-in-pandemic-fight> (accessed April 16, 2021).
46. Catherine Schuster-Bruce, “China’s Sinovac Vaccine Is 50.7% Effective against COVID-19, Just Reaching the Threshold to Be a Vaccine Worth Using, a Major Trial Showed,” Yahoo! News, April 12, 2021, <https://news.yahoo.com/chinas-sinovac-vaccine-50-7-123134411.html> (accessed April 16, 2021).
47. Daniel Sunter, “Disinformation in the Western Balkans,” *NATO Review*, December 21, 2020, <https://www.nato.int/docu/review/articles/2020/12/21/disinformation-in-the-western-balkans/index.html> (accessed April 16, 2021).
48. Ibid.
49. Julia Davis, “Russia, China Team Up to Peddle Insane U.S. COVID Lab Theory,” Yahoo! News, April 9, 2021, <https://news.yahoo.com/russia-china-team-peddle-insane-224247396.html> (accessed April 16, 2021).
50. “Kosovo PM Becomes Nation’s First Person to Receive COVID-19 Vaccine,” Radio Free Europe/Radio Liberty, March 28, 2021, <https://www.rferl.org/a/kosovo-covid-vaccines-immunization-last-europe-astrazeneca-covax/31174048.html> (accessed April 16, 2021).
51. “Russia Warns It Will ‘Have to React’ If Bosnia Moves to Join NATO,” Reuters, March 18, 2021, <https://www.reuters.com/article/us-bosnia-russia-nato-idUSKBN2BA2FL> (accessed April 16, 2021).

-
52. Tod D. Wolters, "Statement of General Tod D. Wolters, United States Air Force Commander, United States European Command," Committee on Armed Services, U.S. Senate, April 13, 2021, <https://www.armed-services.senate.gov/imo/media/doc/CLEARED%20USEUCOM%20SASC%20Congressional%20Posture%20Statement.pdf> (accessed April 16, 2021).
 53. "Top US Envoy Blinken Urges Kosovo Resume Talks with Serbia," *U.S. News & World Report*, April 8, 2021, <https://www.usnews.com/news/world/articles/2021-04-08/top-us-envoy-blinken-urges-kosovo-resume-talks-with-serbia> (accessed April 16, 2021).
 54. Daria Sito-sucic and Robin Emmott, "Unofficial EU Note on Redrawing Balkan Borders Causes Angst in Bosnia," Reuters, April 16, 2021, <https://www.reuters.com/world/europe/unofficial-eu-note-redrawing-balkan-borders-causes-angst-bosnia-2021-04-16/> (accessed April 16, 2021).
 55. Daniel Kochis, "The Three Seas Initiative Is a Strategic Investment that Deserves the Biden Administration's Support," Heritage Foundation *Issue Brief* No. 6051, February 18, 2021, <https://www.heritage.org/europe/report/the-three-seas-initiative-strategic-investment-deserves-the-biden-administrations>.
 56. "World Bank Sees Western Balkan Economies Rebounding to Growth in 2021," Reuters, March 31, 2021, <https://www.reuters.com/article/us-worldbank-balkans-idUKKBN2BN1GW> (accessed April 16, 2021).
 57. Valbona Zeneli and Cameron Munter, "The Transatlantic Alliance and the Western Balkans," *The National Interest*, March 19, 2021, <https://nationalinterest.org/feature/transatlantic-alliance-and-western-balkans-180589?page=0%2C1> (accessed April 16, 2021).